



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,408	07/09/2003	John C. Artz JR.	VIGN1450-1	9286
44654	7590	02/13/2008		
SPRINKLE IP LAW GROUP 1301 W. 25TH STREET SUITE 408 AUSTIN, TX 78705			EXAMINER DUONG, OANH L	
			ART UNIT 2155	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 02/13/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

10/616,408

Applicant(s)

ARTZ ET AL.

Examiner

Oanh Duong

Art Unit

2155

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Oanh Duong. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Ari g. Akmal (Registration No. 51,388). (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 2/5/2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Identification of prior art discussed: Wil (6,496,824), Hogan (2003/0212594), and Chow (7,032,017).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner and Applicant's representative discussed about a proposed amendment (see attached), however, no agreement was reached. Examiner indicated that the amended feature "requesting information regarding a second characteristic of the second visitor computer from the second visitor computer" is not fully supported by Applicant's specification, especially in page 13, paragraphs [0040]-[0041] as specified by Applicant's representative.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

Attorney Docket No.  
VIGN1450-1

10/616,408  
Customer ID: 44654

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS:

1. (Currently Amended) A method of identifying a visitor at a network site comprising:
  - receiving an address from a first visitor computer and a first characteristic of a second visitor computer;
  - requesting information regarding a second characteristic of the second visitor computer from the second visitor computer after receiving the address and the first characteristic;
  - receiving the information regarding the second characteristic of the second visitor computer; and
  - generating a first visitor identifier using the address, the first characteristic and the second characteristic.